

## ST 793 : Solution of Homework-3

Salil Koner

September 27, 2019

### Problem 3.9

We want to test

$$H_0 : \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = \lambda$$

The likelihood function is given by

$$L(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n ; Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{Y_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n Y_i!} I(Y_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \forall i)$$

Which means the log-likelihood is given by

$$l(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n ; Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \log_e \lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e Y_i! \quad (1)$$

The score function is given by

$$\mathbf{S}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) = \left( \frac{Y_1}{\lambda_1} - 1, \frac{Y_2}{\lambda_2} - 1, \dots, \frac{Y_n}{\lambda_n} - 1 \right)^\top \quad (2)$$

And the Information matrix is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) &= \text{diag} \left( E \left( \frac{Y_1}{\lambda_1^2} \right), \dots, E \left( \frac{Y_n}{\lambda_n^2} \right) \right) \\ &= \text{diag} \left( \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \right), \dots, \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Under  $H_0$ , the likelihood function in (1) simplifies to

$$l(\lambda ; Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = -n\lambda + \log_e \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e Y_i! \quad (4)$$

So, we get the MLE under  $H_0$  by setting the first derivative (with respect to  $\lambda$ ) of log-likelihood in (4), which is

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$$

The score test-statistic is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_s &= \mathbf{S}(\tilde{\lambda}, \dots, \tilde{\lambda})^\top \mathbf{I}(\tilde{\lambda}, \dots, \tilde{\lambda})^{-1} \mathbf{S}(\tilde{\lambda}, \dots, \tilde{\lambda}) \\ &= \left( \frac{Y_1}{\bar{Y}} - 1, \frac{Y_2}{\bar{Y}} - 1, \dots, \frac{Y_n}{\bar{Y}} - 1 \right)^\top \bar{Y} \mathbf{I}_n \left( \frac{Y_1}{\bar{Y}} - 1, \frac{Y_2}{\bar{Y}} - 1, \dots, \frac{Y_n}{\bar{Y}} - 1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{Y_i - \bar{Y}}{\bar{Y}} \right)^2 \bar{Y} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}{\bar{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This completes the proof.

### Problem 3.13

(a) The score function is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} \log L(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \left[ Y_{ij} \frac{p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} (\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}) + (n_{ij} - Y_{ij}) \frac{-p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} (\mathbf{x}_i^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}) \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \left[ Y_{ij} \frac{p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \mathbf{x}_i - (n_{ij} - Y_{ij}) \frac{p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \mathbf{x}_i \right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \left[ \frac{Y_{ij}}{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} - \frac{(n_{ij} - Y_{ij})}{1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right] p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \left[ \frac{Y_{ij} - n_{ij} p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}))} \right] p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbf{x}_i \tag{7}$$

(b) From (6), if we take a derivative of score function we get the observed total information matrix as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I}_T(\mathbf{Y}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top} \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \left[ Y_{ij} \left( \frac{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) p''_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - [p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}{[p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2} \right) - (n_{ij} - Y_{ij}) \left( \frac{(1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})) p''_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + [p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}{[1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2} \right) \right] \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $E(Y_{ij}) = n_{ij} p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ , we get the Fisher Information matrix as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I}_T(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= E(\mathbf{I}_T(\mathbf{Y}, \boldsymbol{\beta})) \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \left[ n_{ij} p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \left( \frac{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) p''_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - [p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}{[p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2} \right) - (n_{ij} - n_{ij} p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})) \left( \frac{(1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})) p''_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + [p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}{[1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2} \right) \right] \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \left[ n_{ij} \left( \frac{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) p''_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - [p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) - n_{ij} \left( \frac{(1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})) p''_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + [p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}{1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) \right] \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \\
&= -\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} n_{ij} \left[ \left( p''_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) - \frac{[p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) - \left( p''_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) + \frac{[p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}{1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right) \right] \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} n_{ij} \left[ \frac{1}{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} + \frac{1}{1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})} \right] [p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} n_{ij} \left[ \frac{1}{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}))} \right] [p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k n_{i+} \left[ \frac{[p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})]^2}{p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}))} \right] \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \quad \left[ n_{i+} = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} n_{ij} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

(c) When  $F(x) = (1 + \exp(-x))^{-1}$ , some interesting thing happens. Notice that

$$F'(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1 + e^{-x})^2} = F(x)(1 - F(x))$$

Which means,

$$p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}))$$

Then, from (7) the score function can be simplified as

$$\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} [Y_{ij} - n_{ij}p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})] \mathbf{x}_i \quad (8)$$

Taking second derivative of (8), we get the observed information matrix as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_T(\mathbf{Y}, \boldsymbol{\beta}) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}^\top} \mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} [n_{ij}p'_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})] \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} n_{ij} [p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) (1 - p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}))] \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i^\top \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We see that the observed information matrix does not involve any  $Y_{ij}$  component, so after taking expectation the Information matrix and the observed information matrix becomes equal for that particular form of  $F$ , the form of information matrix is as in (9). Hence the proof.

### Problem 3.15

The log-likelihood function is given by

$$\ell(p_1, \dots, p_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log_e \binom{m_i}{Y_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \log_e p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (m_i - Y_i) \log_e (1 - p_i) \quad (10)$$

Taking partial derivatives with respect to  $p_i$  we get the score function as

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{p}) = \left( \frac{Y_1}{p_1} - \frac{m_1 - Y_1}{1 - p_1} \quad \frac{Y_2}{p_2} - \frac{m_2 - Y_2}{1 - p_2} \quad \dots \quad \frac{Y_n}{p_n} - \frac{m_n - Y_n}{1 - p_n} \right)^\top \quad (11)$$

And taking a further derivative the we get second derivative as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}^\top} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{Y_1}{p_1^2} - \frac{m_1 - Y_1}{(1 - p_1)^2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{Y_2}{p_2^2} - \frac{m_2 - Y_2}{(1 - p_2)^2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -\frac{Y_n}{p_n^2} - \frac{m_n - Y_n}{(1 - p_n)^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

So, the Fisher information matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{I}_T(\mathbf{p}) = -\mathbf{E} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}^\top} \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{p}) \right) \quad (12)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_1}{p_1} + \frac{m_1}{1 - p_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{m_2}{p_2} + \frac{m_2}{1 - p_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{m_n}{p_n} + \frac{m_n}{1 - p_n} \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m_1}{p_1(1 - p_1)} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{m_2}{p_2(1 - p_2)} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{m_n}{p_n(1 - p_n)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$  be the MLE of  $\mathbf{p}$  under  $H_0$ . Then the score statistic is given by

$$T_s = \mathbf{S}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}})^\top \mathbf{I}_T^{-1}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) \mathbf{S}(\tilde{\mathbf{p}}) \quad (15)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{Y_i}{\tilde{p}_i} - \frac{m_i - Y_i}{1 - \tilde{p}_i} \right)^2 \frac{\tilde{p}_i(1 - \tilde{p}_i)}{m_i} \quad (16)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{Y_i - m_i \tilde{p}_i}{\tilde{p}_i(1 - \tilde{p}_i)} \right]^2 \frac{\tilde{p}_i(1 - \tilde{p}_i)}{m_i} \quad (17)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(Y_i - m_i \tilde{p}_i)^2}{m_i \tilde{p}_i (1 - \tilde{p}_i)} \quad (18)$$

Hence the proof.

### Problem 3.18

The log-likelihood function is given by

$$\ell(\mu_1, \mu_2) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} (Y_{1j} - \mu_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_{2j} - \mu_2)^2 \right] \quad (19)$$

Under  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu$ , the MLE of  $\mu$  is obtained by replacing  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu$  in the likelihood function and setting its derivative equal to zero.

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \ell(\mu, \mu) = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} (Y_{1j} - \mu) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_{2j} - \mu) \right]$$

Which implies that the MLE under  $H_0$  is

$$\tilde{\mu}_1 = \tilde{\mu}_2 = \tilde{\mu} = \frac{n_1 \bar{Y}_1 + n_2 \bar{Y}_2}{n_1 + n_2} \quad (20)$$

It is given that under  $H_0 \cup H_1 = \{(\mu_1, \mu_2) : \mu_1 \leq \mu_2\}$ , the MLE is given by

$$(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2) = \begin{cases} (\bar{Y}_1, \bar{Y}_2) & \text{if } \bar{Y}_1 < \bar{Y}_2 \\ (\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\mu}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

Note that, twice log-likelihood can be re-written as

$$-2\ell(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} (Y_{1j} - \bar{Y}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_{2j} - \bar{Y}_2)^2 \right] + \left[ n_1 (\bar{Y}_1 - \mu_1)^2 + n_2 (\bar{Y}_2 - \mu_2)^2 \right] \quad (22)$$

This means,

$$-2\ell(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\mu}) = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} (Y_{1j} - \bar{Y}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_{2j} - \bar{Y}_2)^2 \right] + \left[ n_1 (\bar{Y}_1 - \tilde{\mu})^2 + n_2 (\bar{Y}_2 - \tilde{\mu})^2 \right] \quad (23)$$

and

$$-2\ell(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2) = \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} (Y_{1j} - \bar{Y}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_{2j} - \bar{Y}_2)^2 \right] + \left[ n_1 (\bar{Y}_1 - \hat{\mu}_1)^2 + n_2 (\bar{Y}_2 - \hat{\mu}_2)^2 \right] \quad (24)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} (Y_{1j} - \bar{Y}_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} (Y_{2j} - \bar{Y}_2)^2 & \text{if } \bar{Y}_1 < \bar{Y}_2 \\ -2\ell(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\mu}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

So, the likelihood ratio test is given by

$$T_{LR} = -2\{\ell(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\mu}) - \ell(\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2)\} \quad (26)$$

$$= \begin{cases} n_1 (\bar{Y}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_1)^2 + n_2 (\bar{Y}_2 - \tilde{\mu}_2)^2 & \text{if } \bar{Y}_1 < \bar{Y}_2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Now, we can do further simplification, such as

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 (\bar{Y}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_1)^2 + n_2 (\bar{Y}_2 - \tilde{\mu}_2)^2 &= n_1 \left( \bar{Y}_1 - \frac{n_1 \bar{Y}_1 + n_2 \bar{Y}_2}{n_1 + n_2} \right)^2 + n_2 \left( \bar{Y}_2 - \frac{n_1 \bar{Y}_1 + n_2 \bar{Y}_2}{n_1 + n_2} \right)^2 \\ &= n_1 n_2^2 \left( \frac{\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2}{n_1 + n_2} \right)^2 + n_1^2 n_2 \left( \frac{\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2}{n_1 + n_2} \right)^2 \\ &= n_1 n_2 \left( \frac{\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2}{n_1 + n_2} \right)^2 (n_1 + n_2) \\ &= \frac{(\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2)^2}{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}} \end{aligned}$$

So, the likelihood ratio test-statistic can be simplified as

$$T_{LR} = \left( \frac{\bar{Y}_2 - \bar{Y}_1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \right)^2 I(\bar{Y}_2 - \bar{Y}_1 > 0) \quad (28)$$

Note that, under  $H_0$ ,

$$\bar{Y}_2 - \bar{Y}_1 \sim \text{Normal} \left( 0, \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right) \implies \frac{\bar{Y}_2 - \bar{Y}_1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$$

This means, the null distribution of  $T_{LR}$  follows the same distribution as  $Z^2 I(Z > 0)$  where  $Z$  follows a standard normal distribution. CDF and density of that particular distribution we will derive at the next problem. It turns out that the testing procedure under  $\alpha = 0.05$  will be

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \quad \text{if } T_{LR} > 2.70554$$

### Problem 3.19

Let  $W = Z^2 I(Z > 0)$ , then  $P(W = 0) = P(Z \leq 0) = 0.5$ . This means  $W$  has point mass of 0.5 at 0. And  $W$  can not be negative. The CDF of  $W$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_W(w) = P(W \leq w) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 0 \\ 0.5 & \text{if } w = 0 \\ 0.5 + P(Z^2 \leq w, Z > 0) & \text{if } w > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 0 \\ 0.5 & \text{if } w = 0 \\ 0.5 + P(0 < Z \leq \sqrt{w}) & \text{if } w > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 0 \\ 0.5 & \text{if } w = 0 \\ 0.5 + \Phi(\sqrt{w}) - \Phi(0) & \text{if } w > 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w < 0 \\ 0.5 & \text{if } w = 0 \\ \Phi(\sqrt{w}) & \text{if } w > 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $W$  follows a mixture distribution and its density is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f_W(w) &= 0.5I(w=0) + 0.5w^{-1/2}\phi(\sqrt{w})I(w>0) \\
&= 0.5I(w=0) + 0.5\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}w^{1/2-1}e^{-w/2}\right)I(w>0) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}I(w=0) + \frac{1}{2}\chi_1^2 I(w>0)
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

So, for any  $\alpha > 0.5$ , the formula for  $\alpha$ th quantile is given by

$$w_q = (\Phi^{-1}(\alpha))^2$$

or equivalently,

$$w_q = \chi_{1;(2\alpha-1)}^2$$

where  $\chi_{1,\tau}^2$  is the  $\tau$ th quantile of chi-square distribution with 1 degrees of freedom and  $\Phi$  is the CDF of standard normal distribution. This means, the 0.90, 0.95 and 0.99 quantiles of  $W$  is given by

$$w_{.9} = 1.64237 \quad w_{.95} = 2.70554 \quad w_{.99} = 5.41189$$